

## **Minutes of April 30 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting**

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

### **Attendees (to be confirmed):**

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola

IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Ian Robinson

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Steve Millidge

Red Hat: Mark Little, Scott Stark

Tomitribe: David Blevins

Martijn Verburg

Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich and team

### **Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting**

Meeting minutes have not been distributed to the Working Group over the past week.

April 16 Meeting Minutes were approved, though will not post until agreed.

Minutes of the April 23 Meeting will be reviewed next time.

### **Oracle and Eclipse Agreement and Announcement**

See minutes of prior meetings for additional background on this topic. Per prior discussions Eclipse and Oracle have been negotiating an agreement to license Oracle specification content to enable the delivery of Jakarta EE 8 specifications.

- 1) This requires that Oracle and Eclipse sign a Specification Copyright Agreement. We hope to close this by Thursday.
- 2) We can begin creating draft Jakarta EE 8 component specs, under EPL, at this time.
- 3) Future specifications can use the javax namespace in a compatible manner, but will not be able to evolve/modify the javax namespace. The new functionality will evolve in the Jakarta namespace.

Per email from Mike Milinkovich:

In preparation for our steering committee meeting tomorrow, I wanted to stake out the following strawman timetable and content plan. Comments welcomed on this thread or when we meet tomorrow.

When: Friday, May 3 @ 6:00am Eastern Time. This is contingent on getting the agreement with Oracle actually signed by Thursday. Unfortunately some vacations have gotten in the way of actually getting this executed.

My plan is to post the [FAQ document](#) that we have been working on as a blog post. Note that the EF team and I spent some time on the document this morning and made numerous edits, and resolved pretty much all of the comments. There is one ask of Scott Stark to insert some content to resolve the last remaining comment. We will be also publishing the Board and Steering Committee meeting minutes at around the same time.

Besides the blog post coming from the Eclipse Foundation we would like to ask that all of the participants in the steering committee also consider posting content as well. The goal is to have each of your organizations put their own message on top of the core content contained in the FAQ document. In addition, there is a Jakarta EE community status call next Wednesday and we would like as many of you to participate in that call as possible.

We are not going to do any advance press briefings, but do expect there to be quite a bit of interest once published.

#### Suggested discussion:

- Reviewed the FAQ document referenced above, and define a process for closure
  - Suggested that this be referenced as a statement of the WG.
  - Please provide all comments NLT noon EDT on Thursday.
  - Mike will confirm agreement (or not) Thursday PM. Fallback would be 6AM Monday.
  - Please participate in the Jakarta EE update call on Wednesday 11AM EDT call if possible.
- Review status of Spec Committee definition/recommendation of potential strategies/options for transitioning from javax.\* to jakarta.\*.
  - David has provided a directional statement relative to Jakarta EE 9 in the FA document
  - The consensus was that it would be better not to identify specific options in the FAQ document itself. Each member should be empowered to discuss options and ideas on how to move forward in their individual posts and encourage discussion via community mailing lists. And move faster.....
- Review WG member support for posting content per Mike's comments.
  - Mike requested support for the above statement and posting of the above message, and why Eclipse is a great place to evolve cloud native Java

technology, e.g Jakarta EE 8, Jakarta EE 9, support for Microprofile. At same time or shortly thereafter. Oracle, IBM, Tomitribe said they will support. Because of Red Hat Summit an additional review will be required.

- Review Jakarta EE 8 messages.
  - The consensus was not to add this to the FAQ for this week, but to distribute in the following weeks.
  - We will discuss “how” to announce (e.g. Eclipse or WG) next week.
- Review Marketing Committee readiness for the announcement
  - Primary work is for supporting blogs to come out.

### **Other Agreements Between Working Group Members and the Eclipse Foundation**

Any update on Eclipse draft copyright agreements for other Jakarta EE WG members and other spec contributors.

Update on other Jakarta EE Working Group agreements

- Regarding the Tomitribe participation agreement, see prior meeting minutes for the background. Is there any update regarding the strategy for TomEE Jakarta EE “branding”? This will be captured in writing for next week.

### **Jakarta EE 8 Release**

The scope of the release has been agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

[https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq\\_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c\\_0\\_0](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0)

The following Google doc is being updated:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmPvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

Ed will update the group on progress towards an overall proposal/plan for a Jakarta EE 8 release. We will need to address what items are missing from the references above.

Is there an update on branding documents? Getting close to completion not quite done.

### **Marketing Committee Update**

Waiting on guidance from Eclipse IP Advisory Committee on branding guidelines.

Any update on the content of the logos, differentiating between a Jakarta EE WG members, and a Jakarta EE committer (which would require a third logo).

Update on Jakarta EE Update community call? See above. Blogs in support requested. Will also be commenting on the Developer Survey. New proposed drop date for survey is May 9 (will discuss in May 8 meeting).

### **Jakarta EE committee elections**

We expect a call for nominations in early May.

We should discuss the process for including a PMC member.

### **Proposed Specification Names**

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1\\_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFritz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/edit#gid=157814126](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFritz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/edit#gid=157814126)

### **Jakarta “Summit”**

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on the resolution of legal issues.